I. INTRODUCTION

N-type doping of β-Ga2O3 during crystal growth is typically performed with the tetravalent ions Si, Sn, and Ge, which have hydrogenic shallow donor states (ionization levels in the range of 10–30 meV).1–7 It has been noted that high temperature annealing of undoped and Sn-doped bulk crystals can have significant effects on the resulting conductivity, especially in O2 environments, where the material may become insulating.6,8 The initial work on β-Ga2O3 found that unintentionally doped samples showed increasing charge carrier concentrations upon annealing in reducing atmospheres,2,5 suggesting that oxygen vacancies were the dominant donors present. However, it is now known that oxygen vacancies are deep donors and do not contribute significantly to the n-type conduction,12 which is now attributed to impurities like Si.5 Similarly, the active fraction of Si is <50% in bulk crystals, indicating that not all are occupying substitutional Ga sites, although there are two Ga sites in the Ga2O3 lattice, and it is expected that the ionization level is lower for the Ga(I) site compared to the octahedral Ga(II) site.13,14 Ge and Sn are often preferred as dopants, especially in molecular beam epitaxy, because of reduced problems with oxidation of the dopant sources relative to Si.15 

There is increasing interest in doping Ga2O3 using ion implantation.18–24 This provides a versatile method for doping selective area channel and contact regions in field effect transistors.18,19 Implantation can also be used to create resistive, current-defining paths by incorporating deep acceptors like Fe, MgGa2, and N2O.20–24 Peelaers et al.27 examined the diffusion mechanisms for Mg and N and found that diffusion of N was predominantly assisted by O vacancies, while Mg diffusion was controlled by the gallium interstitial concentration. Tadjer et al.26 reported diffusivities at 1150 °C of 9.5 × 10⁻¹³ cm² s⁻¹ for implanted Si and 1.7 × 10⁻¹³ cm² s⁻¹ for Sn when annealed under O2. Sharma et al.28 noted a strong dependence of diffusivity of implanted Si on whether N2 or O2 annealing ambients were used, emphasizing the role of defects in assisting implanted dopant migration. Wong et al.20 also noted that implantation damage enhanced the diffusivity of Fe in adjoining layers as a result of defect migration during annealing. There is clearly a need to more fully understand the diffusion of implanted dopants in Ga2O3 if this approach is to be used in a controlled fashion during device fabrication.

II. EXPERIMENT

The samples were obtained from Novel Crystal Technology6 and were unintentionally doped EFG Ga2O3 substrates (no epi), with a room temperature carrier concentration
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of $1 - 2 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, as measured by the electrochemical capacitance-voltage method. They had (−201) orientation, with XRD FWHM rocking curves of 20 arcsec and 25 arcsec in the [010] and [102] directions, respectively.

All samples were implanted nominally at room temperature with a 7° tilt with respect to the beam normal. Ge and Sn ions at multiple energies and doses were employed to obtain near-uniform concentrations of $10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. The specific energy and dose conditions were $2 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$/60 keV + $3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$/100 keV + $4 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$/200 keV for Sn and $3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$/

$60$ keV + $5 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$/100 keV + $7 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$/200 keV for Ge.

The samples were annealed in 1 atm of pure, flowing N$_2$ or O$_2$ at 1100 °C for various times (10–120 s) in a Surface Science Integration (SSI) Solaris 150 rapid thermal anneal system. Microstructural analysis was carried out on Ge-implanted and annealed samples using Scanning TEM (STEM) mode on a JEOL 2100 field emission TEM, equipped with a high-resolution pole piece. SIMS was performed at Evans Analytical with a magnetic sector Cameca system using a Cs$^+$ ion beam with 14.5 keV energy and 24° incident angle. The SIMS profiles were fitted using the FLorida Object Oriented Device Process Simulator (FLOOPS) via a Fick diffusion-based model.$^{30,31}$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows STEM high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) results, with an isolated band of damage after Ge implantation, which extends $\sim$130 nm (left) from the sample surface and corresponds to the projected range of Ge under these energy conditions. The end-of-range damage region in this as-implanted sample consists of extended defects created by the nuclear collision energy loss during the ion stopping process. After annealing at 1100 °C for 10 s under O$_2$, STEM imaging shows that implantation-induced damage is almost fully recovered, with the damage band decreased to $\sim$17 nm from the sample surface.

We observed extensive redistribution of both the Ge and Sn during annealing in O$_2$. Figure 2 shows the experimental (a) and the fits to the annealed profiles (b) for Sn. There is both diffusion into the bulk and loss of dopant to the surface. The model used within FLOOPS is based on Fickian diffusion, the influence of vacancies and interstitials, and the trapping and release of the dopant atoms on damage sites created by the implantation and included segregation to the surface, represented by

$$\left(\frac{dV}{dt} - D_V \frac{dV}{dx} + R + K_{DA} (V - E_V)(I - E_V)\right) = 0,$$

where $K_i$ is the rate of loss to the surface of the diffusing species, $D_V$ and $D_I$ are the vacancy and interstitial diffusion, respectively, $K_{DA}$ is the rate of damage annealing, $I$ is the interstitial concentration, and $E_V$ is the equilibrium damage concentration (assumed to be $10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$).$^{32,33}$

We have used a similar model previously for diffusion of deuterium in Ga$_2$O$_3$. The rate of change of the mobile Sn concentration is represented by

$$\frac{dSn}{dt} - D_{Sn} \frac{dSn}{dx} + R = 0,$$

where $D_{Sn}$ is the Sn diffusivity, $Sn$ is the Sn concentration, and $R$ is the reaction rate representing the trapping on damage sites given by
R = \left( K_T \cdot Sn \cdot V \right) - \left( K_R \cdot T \right).

We achieved accurate fits for the Sn profiles assuming a diffusivity of $2.7 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 1100 °C under O$_2$. The other fitting parameters are summarized in Table I, with a vacancy diffusion rate of $10^{-8}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, trap rate on damage sites of $8 \times 10^{-16}$ s$^{-1}$, and a release rate of 1.2 s$^{-1}$. The difference between the ion-implanted preanalis damage concentration and trapped Sn concentration is considered the equilibrium vacancy concentration and was obtained from the incorporation of stopping and range of ions in matter\textsuperscript{35} data.

The huge contrast for the results obtained with annealing of Sn implants in a N$_2$ ambient is shown in Fig. 3(a) for the case of annealing at 1100 °C for 120 s. The figure shows the simulated fit to the experimental Sn profiles for annealing in N$_2$, as well as the total concentration of vacancies after annealing. The total vacancy concentration for annealing in N$_2$ is higher than for O$_2$ annealing, according to the simulations involving a vacancy inflow and outflow from the surface and an example is shown in Fig. 3(b).

We observed similar suppression of the diffusion when annealing in N$_2$ for implanted Si previously.\textsuperscript{28} This emphasizes the effect of the point defect population present in the near-surface region on the diffusion of the implanted dopants. Since the mobile Sn is experimentally observed to be trapped at vacancies for N$_2$ anneals, they are likely Ga$_v$. Use of the N$_2$ annealing ambient should supply more O$_{v_s}$ but these have a very high migration energy\textsuperscript{36} so that Ga$_v$ control the site occupation and the reduced migration of the Sn.\textsuperscript{35} Both O$_{v_s}$ and Ga$_v$ have very complex migration paths in monoclinic Ga$_2$O$_3$.\textsuperscript{36} More work is needed to establish the charge state of the O$_{v_s}$ (neutral or 2+) or Ga$_v$ (neutral, 1-, 2-, or 3-)\textsuperscript{37–39}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters/rates</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Ge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diffusivity (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trap rate on damage sites (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release rate (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancy diffusivity (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface outgas rate (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that control the migration and site occupation of the Sn. However, work by Korhonen et al.\textsuperscript{40} using positron annihilation spectroscopy established that O\textsubscript{2} annealing led to an increase in Ga\textsubscript{v} density. Similarly, it has been determined that Sn preferentially occupies the Ga(2) octahedral site,\textsuperscript{41} and hence it is likely that Ga\textsubscript{v} can enhance the diffusivity of these substitutional species.

Similar results and trends were found for the implanted Ge. Figure 4 shows the experimental (a) and the fits to the annealed profiles (b) for Ge after annealing in O\textsubscript{2} for different times. The redistribution is even more pronounced than for Sn, as evidenced by the higher diffusivity of $1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^2$s$^{-1}$ at 1100 °C. While Ge is a smaller atom than Sn and might be expected to diffuse faster, we note that the diffusivity is even faster than for Si under the same conditions ($4.5 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^2$s$^{-1}$), so that size is not the only determining factor.

The suppression of diffusion for Ge in N\textsubscript{2} annealing ambients is shown in Fig. 5(a). The fit to the experimental data is not as accurate in this case, which may be due to a more pronounced concentration dependence of the diffusivity in the case of Ge. There is a higher concentration of vacancies present according to the simulations [Fig. 5(b)], and this may cause compensation on the end-of-range region of the profile. At this stage, we have not performed electrical profiling experiments to confirm this, since the control of impurities and defects in the starting material needs optimization and spatial measurements of activation would likely not be reproducible.

![Figure 4](image1.png)  
**Fig. 4.** Experimental (a) and simulated fits (b) of SIMS profiles of Ge implanted in Ga\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and subsequently annealed for different times at 1100 °C in O\textsubscript{2} ambient.
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**Fig. 5.** Experimental and simulated fits of the SIMS profile (a) and simulated vacancy and total Ge (trapped plus mobile Ge) concentrations (b) in Ge-implanted Ga\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} after annealing in N\textsubscript{2} ambient at 1100 °C for 120 s.
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**Fig. 6.** Comparison of Sn and Ge ion profiles after annealing at 1100 °C for 120 s in either O\textsubscript{2} or N\textsubscript{2} ambients.
To give a direct comparison of the redistribution of Sn versus Ge under the same conditions, Fig. 6 shows the SIMS profiles for annealing at 1100 °C for 120 s in either O$_2$ or N$_2$ ambient. These data clearly show the effect of the ambient and the more rapid diffusion of Ge relative to Sn. It will be interesting in future experiments to independently control the amount of lattice damage by using an inert species like Ar to provide a controlled damage density that can be varied relative to the intrinsic damage from the Sn or Ge implants themselves. This may help elucidate whether the additional vacancy concentration created by the heavier Sn is the dominant factor in determining its subsequent redistribution. It will also be of interest to see if Ge is a faster diffuser in doped epitaxial layers, where there is an absence of implant damage.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have observed a dramatic effect of annealing ambient on the diffusivity of implanted Sn and Ge in β-Ga$_2$O$_3$. Both of those donor dopants exhibit significant redistribution during annealing in O$_2$ ambient; whereas this motion is suppressed for N$_2$ annealing ambients. The experimental profiles can be accurately fit with a model involving Fickian diffusion of mobile Sn or Ge, with trapping at vacancies and loss to the surface. This model currently cannot differentiate between Ga and O vacancies in determining the diffusion enhancement, but since both Sn and Ge occupy Ga substitutional sites, we can assume that Ga, are the controlling factor during N$_2$ annealing since the dopants are less mobile and are trapped at these vacancies.
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